

Glass vs PET Manuka Packaging Decision Planner

Compare the exact jar system across evidence, production, transport, channel and commercial exposure.

CONTROL PRINCIPLE

Approve a jar, neck, closure, seal, label and case as one controlled system. A material name is not a packaging specification.

1. Packaging brief

Buyer / market / channel	Buyer: _____ Market: _____ Channel: _____
Product and fill	Grade: _____ Net weight: _____ Fill conditions: _____
Distribution route	[] Pallet [] Parcel / e-commerce [] Mixed Route: _____
Commercial scope	Pilot units: _____ First order: _____ Annual forecast: _____
Prepared / reviewed	Owner: _____ Packer: _____ Date: _____

2. Exact component comparison

Control	Glass system	PET system	Evidence / status
Jar code / drawing	_____	_____	_____
Material / colour / mass	_____	_____	_____
Neck / closure / liner	_____	_____	_____
Label / code surface	_____	_____	_____
Case / divider / pallet	_____	_____	_____
Supplier / continuity	_____	_____	_____

3. Intended-use and production evidence

Gate	Glass	PET	Reviewer / action
Food-contact basis	_____	_____	_____
Fill / temperature suitability	_____	_____	_____
Line handling / fill accuracy	_____	_____	_____
Closure / seal performance	_____	_____	_____
Label / code acceptance	_____	_____	_____

Transit, economics, claims and approval

Test filled units in the final shipped configuration.

CONTROL PRINCIPLE

Compare delivered accepted-unit cost and use market-specific evidence for every environmental or performance claim.

4. Transit and receiving test

Measure	Glass result	PET result	Acceptance / evidence
Filled case mass / dimensions	_____	_____	_____
Pallet units / chargeable basis	_____	_____	_____
Drop / vibration / compression	_____	_____	_____
Leak / break / deformation	_____	_____	_____
Label / cosmetic damage	_____	_____	_____
Receiving reject rate	_____	_____	_____

5. Delivered-unit economics

Cost / exposure	Glass	PET	Basis / owner
Jar + closure + seal	_____	_____	_____
Label + case + packing	_____	_____	_____
Freight + insurance	_____	_____	_____
Line loss + damage	_____	_____	_____
MOQ + residual components	_____	_____	_____
Cost per accepted unit	_____	_____	_____

6. Claims and pilot decision

Channel hypothesis	Glass: _____ PET: _____
Environmental claim	Exact proposed wording: _____ Evidence owner: _____
Pilot measures	Shelf response / line rate / seal / damage / unit cost / buyer feedback
Open dependencies	Component: ____ Packer: ____ Trial: ____ Market review: ____
Review point	Decision date: ____ Owner: ____ Change control opened: []

PACKAGING SYSTEM	[] HOLD [] PILOT [] PROCEED [] REJECT
Decision owner	Name: _____ Role: _____ Date: _____
Conditions / rationale	_____ _____

Boundary: This worksheet is not a food-contact certificate, packaging approval, environmental assessment, quotation, legal advice or confirmed SELVEH packaging option. Verify the exact components, process, route and market.